

1 **Minutes of the Combined**  
 2 **North Logan City and Hyde Park City**  
 3 **City Councils**  
 4 **Held on March 31, 2010**  
 5 **At the North Logan City Library, North Logan, Utah**  
 6  
 7  
 8

9 The City Councils meeting was called to order by Mayor Lloyd Berentzen at 6:35 P.M.

10  
11 North Logan City Council members present were: Nancy Potter, Allen Kartchner, Al Moser, Kevin  
12 Dustin and John Bailey.

13  
14 Hyde Park City Council members present were: Mayor Bryan Cox, Mark R. Hurd, Charles  
15 Wheeler, Brent Kelly and Robert Christensen. (Carol Johnson was absent)

16  
17  
18 Others present were: Jeff Jorgensen, Cordell Batt, David Fierro, Loretta Markham, Marjorie  
19 Rasmussen, Jeff Gilbert, Reed Elder, Roger Baker, Travis Spencer, Bryson Hillyard, Landon  
20 Tracy, Riley Cook, Israel Herrera, Brock Halling, Pierce Allen, Tanner Jackman, Luke Mann,  
21 Chandler West, Chris Chlarson, Dave Robinson, Cheryl Fullmer, Marjorie Rasmussen, Loretta  
22 Markham, Rod Elder, Judy Allen, J.R. Alfred, Sims Balls, Kayla Harding, Russell Goodwin, Marcia  
23 Cheney, Mark Lynne, and Lydia Embry.

24  
25 A flag ceremony and the Pledge of Allegiance were led by BSA Troop 17.  
26 An invocation was given by Charles Wheeler.

27  
28 **Adoption of Agenda**

29 *Allen Kartchner made a motion to adopt the agenda as presented. Nancy Potter seconded the*  
30 *motion. A vote was called and the motion passed unanimously with all from both councils voting*  
31 *in favor.*

32  
33 **New Business**

34 **Receive an update on the environmental impact assessment process for the Hyde Park, North**  
35 **Logan Transportation Corridor (200 East).**

36 Jeff Jorgensen introduced Loretta Markham, Project Manager from Lochner Engineering, to make  
37 the presentation.

38 Loretta Markham explained that they had been hired by North Logan City, Hyde Park, and UDOT  
39 to re-evaluate the Environmental Impact Statement that was started in 2003 for the construction  
40 of the North Logan Hyde Park Corridor. In 2007, an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) had  
41 been initiated for the road that would run approximately along 200 East, from 1400 North to 3700  
42 North. Upon completion of the initial study by JUB Engineering, four alternative routes for 200  
43 East had been evaluated; and a Final EIS (FEIS) had been completed, but not approved, for a  
44 variety of reasons. The environmental impact assessment process was then put on hold in 2009.  
45 Lochner's job is now to complete the EIS for the project in two, or perhaps three, phases. The  
46 first phase will take about four months and will be to re-evaluate the previously produced EIS. If a  
47 Supplemental EIS (SEIS), or a new EIS is determined by the Federal Highways Administration  
48 (FHWA) to be required, then phase two of Lochner's project will be to re-do the EIS or produce an  
49 SEIS. The second phase, if needed, will take about five months. The third phase of the project  
50 will be to produce the FEIS and get FHWA approval for the project, including a Record of  
51 Decision (ROD).

52 Phase one will start immediately and will include evaluating the already produced EIS for  
53 accuracy and currency with regard to land-use and traffic by using the most recent zoning for the

54 area, existing and currently planned development; and an updated traffic study done by the  
55 CMPO. Phase one will also include considerable public and stakeholder/property owner  
56 involvement. Following this phase, FHWA will evaluate the EIS and make the determination if the  
57 EIS needs to be re-done and if an SEIS will be needed.

58 At the conclusion of phase one, there will be sufficient information for Lochner to be able to come  
59 to the cities, present their findings, and make recommendations for input from the two cities. In  
60 about June or July, the two cities should provide formal resolutions stating their preferred  
61 alternative route based on the data provided by the evaluation.

62 If phase two is needed, Lochner will conduct formal public hearings as part of the process. If  
63 phase two is not needed, there will still be considerable opportunities for public involvement;  
64 including meetings in neighborhoods, as well as meetings with special groups including the  
65 Thomas Edison Charter School, and owners and developers of some of the commercial land  
66 involved.

67 Ms. Markham then reviewed the schedule for the project. If phase two is not needed, the FEIS  
68 should be done before the end of the calendar year. If it is needed, the FEIS should be  
69 completed by about March of next year (2011).

70 Following a question from Jeff Jorgensen, Ms. Markham explained the 4(f) and 6(f) parts of the  
71 project, which she explained was to mitigate the impact of the project on any park land in the  
72 area. She explained that because the land around the Eccle's Ice Center was federally acquired  
73 and designated park land, the process required that other land, equal in value to the right-of-way  
74 being taken for the road, be traded such that there was an equal value of land elsewhere that  
75 would thereafter be similarly designated park land.

76 The impact on the project that would come as a result of the two cities' stating their preferred  
77 alternative was then discussed. Loretta Markham pointed out that at one point in the initial  
78 evaluation process, she understood that Hyde Park had stated that they preferred alternative 5  
79 and North Logan had stated they preferred either alternative 5 or 6b. Charles Wheeler pointed  
80 out that Hyde Park's stated preference was for those routes that went along the property lines  
81 through Hyde Park; and therefore alternatives 5 and 6b were essentially the same route from the  
82 ice center north. Loretta pointed out, and it was discussed among the councils, that it would be  
83 best to have the two cities meet together in June or July, after phase one has been completed, in  
84 order to put forth a set of resolutions for the two cities that would hopefully be in agreement with  
85 one another.

86 David Fierro, the public involvement specialist for Lochner for this project, explained the process  
87 by which the public could be informed and have input into the process. It was discussed that  
88 there will be neighborhood meetings, flyers, websites, and perhaps articles for the cities'  
89 newsletters that could involve more of the public.

90

91 Discuss the possibilities for Hyde Park's continuing use of the North Logan Library after June  
92 2011.

93 Jeff Jorgensen, using a Power Point presentation, explained some of the history of Hyde Park's  
94 use of the North Logan Library. He explained the current value of the Thorne Endowment and  
95 the revenue produced by these assets. He also brought the two councils up to date on the  
96 current status of the library bond, it being refinanced, the amount of principal still due, the interest  
97 rate and the annual payment. He also explained current operating costs to run the library and  
98 pointed out that the largest expenditures for the library were first, wages and benefits for the  
99 employees, then the payments for the bond, followed by facilities costs and then collections.

100 Jeff next showed a possible budget plan for sharing the costs of the library with Hyde Park  
101 starting July 1, 2011 (FY2012). He pointed out that by using some projected nominal growth in  
102 costs, and assuming the Thorne Endowment continues to produce funds as it has in the past, that  
103 Hyde Park's share of the costs starting in FY2012 would be roughly \$110K to \$120K. Beginning  
104 in FY2017 that cost would go down to about \$85K to \$95K, once the library bond is paid off. This  
105 represents about \$33 per year for a \$150,000 valued home for Hyde Park residents until about  
106 2017, then that goes down to about \$17 per year. Hyde Park's share of the costs of the library  
107 not covered by other sources such as the Thorne Endowment, using Jeff's model, would be about

108 25% while North Logan's share would be about 75%. The per-residence costs for North Logan  
109 would be similar to Hyde Park and would be about half what North Logan residents currently pay  
110 in dedicated library property taxes.

111 Mayor Cox discussed some of the figures he had obtained about the library, including the number  
112 of residents with cards, and how many of them were active users of their cards. He gave an  
113 example of some Hyde Park residents who got cards years ago but have since moved away. He  
114 said he estimated there were about 900 adults in Hyde Park with "active" cards. He also  
115 reviewed the history of votes taken in Hyde Park on the library issue and pointed out that both  
116 times this had been done, the voters were about 60% against a tax for library service. Mayor Cox  
117 also discussed that the Cache County Council would be having an opinion question on the  
118 November ballot about establishing a county-wide library system with an associated tax. If the  
119 people of the county support such a tax then the issues relating to combining with North Logan's  
120 Library would change.

121 The two councils discussed a variety of other issues relating to how Hyde Park could continue to  
122 use the library after July 1, 2011.

123 The possibility of having North Logan allow others to use the library through a non-resident fee for  
124 a card was discussed. The North Logan Council did not commit to establishing a non-resident  
125 fee but expressed their willingness to consider ways that would, in particular, allow for children to  
126 use the library.

127 The discussion concluded with North Logan's council agreeing that they would be willing to work  
128 with Hyde Park to continue to provide library service to Hyde Park if Hyde Park paid their fair  
129 share, which may be something similar to what was presented. They asked Hyde Park to come  
130 up with a plan which might include polling their residents to see if they would now support an  
131 increase in taxes to pay for library services or otherwise come up with funds for such services.  
132 The Hyde Park council agreed to consider how they might best do so and that they would get  
133 back with North Logan's council on this subject.

134

135 Receive updates from staff on various projects common between the two cities including roads  
136 projects, stormwater control projects, and canal system improvements.

137 North

138 Jeff Jorgensen described briefly the project being worked to combine the Logan, Hyde Park,  
139 Smithfield (LHPS) Canal with the Logan and Northern (L&N) Canal and the cities' involvement in  
140 the project because of the stormwater that will be conveyed through the canals. He also  
141 explained that it was premature to state what the final project will be and what the cities' financial  
142 involvement will be because the environmental impact assessment process is underway and  
143 there are several different options considered. He gave the two councils information about a  
144 pipeline being installed at about 4800 North that would convey water from the LHPS Canal to the  
145 L&N Canal for use below the L&N Canal. It was being up-sized to allow it to also function as a  
146 way to divert stormwater from the LHPS Canal to the L&N Canal in an emergency (storm event)  
147 to keep from flooding Smithfield properties. This is especially important since the LHPS Canal  
148 will be carrying almost twice the water it has in the past and if there is no opportunity for  
149 significant stormwater diversion before the canal goes into Smithfield, the canal through  
150 Smithfield cannot hold the irrigation water with water added from a large storm.

151 The road at 3100 North (North Logan's addressing) from 1200 East to Main was discussed.  
152 Using a map of the proposed road, Charles Wheeler explained the importance of the road for  
153 conveying east-west traffic and pointed out the parts of the road already done in Hyde Park and  
154 what part of the road North Logan would be responsible for. Both councils agreed that  
155 completing the road is a high priority and hoped to get it done soon. Mayor Berentzen reminded  
156 the councils that efforts had been discussed about getting federal funding for the project. Jeff  
157 Jorgensen was asked to get updated status on what Dan Turner had been asked to do on this  
158 project.

159 The problem with stormwater on 800 East and about 3000 North (North Logan's addressing) was  
160 discussed. Stormwater flows north at this point out of North Logan City and if some of the drop-  
161 inlet boxes get plugged in this area, and they often do, there is significant ponding in this area.

162 Hyde Park asked North Logan to fix the problem and provided an agreement for an easement  
 163 across the Catholic Church's property for the purpose of installing a stormwater line to take the  
 164 water from the road and dump it into the canal just west of 800 East. They also provided a letter  
 165 from the president of the impacted canal allowing the stormwater to go into that canal. Jeff said  
 166 he would take action to get the stormwater line installed as proposed.

167  
 168 The two councils discussed issues relating to the Hyde Park Cemetery District. Jeff Jorgensen  
 169 explained that there were many residents of North Logan that were paying taxes to the Hyde Park  
 170 Cemetery District who felt they were being taxed twice for cemetery services since some of their  
 171 North Logan taxes were being used for the North Logan Cemetery. There was some interest  
 172 expressed by both councils in making some changes which may include: combining the  
 173 cemeteries and having one cemetery district, having Hyde Park City take over running the Hyde  
 174 Park Cemetery and doing away with the cemetery district, and changing the boundary for the  
 175 district such that North Logan properties would not be paying to the Hyde Park Cemetery District.  
 176 The combined councils agreed to set up some meetings with representatives of both councils and  
 177 with the Hyde Park Cemetery Board to discuss ways to resolve some of the issues discussed.

178  
 179 Mayor Berentzen reported that the bond for the Eccles Ice Center had been paid off. By doing  
 180 so, some of the sales tax revenue that was previously dedicated to pay off that bond would now  
 181 be diverted to the cities involved that included North Logan and Hyde Park.

182  
 183 *Allen Kartchner made a motion to adjourn the meeting. Nancy Potter seconded the motion. A*  
 184 *vote was called and the motion passed unanimously with both councils voting in favor.*

185  
 186 The meeting adjourned at: 8:45 P.M.

187  
 188 Approved by North Logan City Council: April 7, 2010

189  
 190 Approved by Hyde Park City Council: Awaiting Approval as of 4/7/10

191  
 192  
 193 Transcribed by Jeff Jorgensen

194  
 195 For the Record by   
 196 Scott Bennett/City Recorder North Logan City

197  
 198  
 199 For the Record by   
 200 Marsha Hymas/City Recorder Hyde Park City

201  
 202

March 31, 2010 - City Council Meeting - Sign In Sheet  
(Combined with Hyde Park C. Council)

Name Printed

Jeffrey Jorgensen

David Fierro - Lochner

Roger Baker

Travis Spencer

Bryson Hillyard

Landon Tracy

Riley Cook

Isaac Herrera

Prock Alling

Pierce Allen

Tanner Jackman

Luke Mann

Chandler West

JEFF GILBERT ✓

Chris Charson

BRYAN CAL ✓

DAVE ROBINSON

Cheryl Fullmer

Marjorie Rasmussen ✓

Lorena Marenson ✓

Red Elder #1P

Mark R. Hurd

Judy Allen

J.P. Allred

~~Samuel~~

Eric Balls

Kayla Harding

RUSSEL GOODWIN

Marcia Cheney

MARK LYNN

Red

Charles Wheeler

Brent Kelly

Bob Christensen